

Introduction to the Supersymmetry Method for the Gaussian Random-Matrix Ensembles

Josef A. Zuk¹

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, D-69029 Heidelberg, Germany

&

Department of Physics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, R3T 2N2, Canada

Abstract

This article is intended to provide a pedagogical introduction to the supersymmetry method for performing ensemble-averaging in Gaussian random-matrix theory. The method is illustrated by a detailed calculation of the simplest non-trivial physical quantity, namely, the second-order correlation in the density of states for two different energies within the spectrum (commonly known as the density-density correlator) for a system described by a random Hamiltonian matrix belonging to the Gaussian unitary ensemble.

PACS numbers: 05.40.+j, 71.20.-b, 05.50.+q, 24.60.-k

¹Current address: Aeronautical Research Laboratory, Air Operations Division, P.O. Box 4331, Melbourne, Australia. (E-mail: Josef.Zuk@dsto.defence.gov.au)

CONTENTS

- I. Introduction
- II. Density of States
- III. Superalgebra
- IV. Supersymmetry Formalism
 - A. Basic Ideas
 - B. Generating Function
 - C. Ensemble Average
 - D. Hubbard-Stratonovich Transformation
 - E. Integration Supermanifold
 - F. Convergence
 - G. Saddle-Point Equation
 - H. Decoupling of Massive Modes
- V. Superintegration
 - A. Coset Parametrization
 - B. The Measure

Acknowledgements

Appendix A

Appendix B

Appendix C

References

I. Introduction

In many physical problems, especially in nuclear physics and condensed-matter physics, the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian, which models the underlying physical complexity, involves a random matrix belonging to one of the three standard Gaussian ensembles: the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE), the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) or the Gaussian symplectic ensemble (GSE). The appropriate choice is dictated by the symmetries of the theory [1].

In condensed-matter physics, such problems arise in the study of electron localization phenomena in disordered conductors and semi-conductors. In the mesoscopic regime, applications include universal conductance fluctuations [2], Aronov-Altshuler-Spivak oscillations [3, 4] and persistent currents [5]. These are all weak-localization effects. In the strongly localized domain, problems such as long disordered quantum wires [6] and the integer quantum Hall effect [7] are amenable to a random-matrix formulation. In the ballistic regime, where disorder is negligible, classical chaos in the dynamics of electron scattering gives rise to the complexity that can be described within random-matrix theory. Thus, another recent important application has been the study of electron transport across microstructures, constructed in the shape of classically chaotic billiards, in the presence of a variable external magnetic field. This allows one to investigate the continuous crossover between two random-matrix ensembles ($\text{GOE} \rightarrow \text{GUE}$) as probed by the mean conductance and its correlations for increasing magnetic field [8]. Also, connections between the Sutherland-Calogero model and the random-matrix ensembles have been established and discussed in the literature [9, 10]. A brief review of applications to nuclear physics can be found in Ref. 11. Finally, the latest application of the supersymmetry techniques described here has emerged in elementary particle physics, where random-matrix theory has been used to study chiral symmetry breaking in QCD [12].

One is often interested in computing ensemble averages of physical quantities involving traces of products or products of traces of resolvents of the Hamiltonian. Such quantities include the density of states and its correlation functions, products of S-matrix elements, as well as the conductance (by virtue of being itself a sum over products of S-matrix elements, as can be seen from the Landauer formula, which states that $G = (e^2/h) \text{tr } t^\dagger t$ with the matrix t denoting the transmission part of the S-matrix) and its higher moments and auto-correlations. In this situation, it is convenient to proceed by first constructing a generating function for resolvents and expressing the desired quantities as derivatives of the generating function with respect to the source. The supersymmetry method [13, 14] provides a mathematical tool for performing the ensemble average of the entire generating function, thereby avoiding the necessity for expanding in powers of the random matrix, and subsequently resumming after ensemble averaging (as is done in impurity perturbation theory, for example). Therefore, it is a non-perturbative approach which

can provide results beyond the domain of validity of expansion techniques. It is also a useful alternative to the method of orthogonal polynomials in many cases [1]. Indeed, where coupling to external channels is involved, such as in the calculation of S-matrix correlations [2], orthogonal polynomials have not been applied and may not be amenable to such problems.

Furthermore, non-perturbative results are especially useful whenever a small number of external channels, M , is coupled to the random system, because the perturbation expansion here proceeds essentially in powers of $1/M$. This situation can typically occur in the problem of compound-nucleus scattering with few open decay channels [14], or in the conductance problem for electronic microstructures connected to few electron-mode leads [8]. An extreme case is provided by isolated ($M = 0$) non-dissipative systems in dealing with the so-called zero mode. The problem of persistent currents gives one such example [5].

The foregoing remarks have hopefully illustrated the fact that random-matrix theory is a subject of much current interest, and the supersymmetric approach is playing an increasingly important role in it — its use having been very rapidly increasing over the past few years. It is difficult, however, for the novice to easily grasp the elements of the supersymmetry method simply by studying the topical literature. Applications to real physics problems typically involve calculations rendered long and laborious by the presence of parameters inducing explicit symmetry breaking, couplings to external degrees of freedom, the necessity for complicated source terms, continuous spatial dimensions, and the large dimensionality of the underlying matrix spaces required for evaluation of higher-order correlators. Usually also, other extraneous ingredients come into play in a given physical problem, such as statistical scattering theory. All these complications tend to obscure the essentials of the supersymmetry method. The purpose of the present article is to bridge the gap by providing a primer for more realistic calculations.

To this end, we consider here a quantum mechanical theory whose Hamiltonian is taken to be purely a random $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix belonging to the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE). By definition, a random matrix H is said to belong to the GUE if (i) its diagonal elements $H_{\mu\mu}$ and the real and imaginary parts of its off-diagonal elements $H_{\mu\nu}$, for $\mu < \nu$, are statistically independent, and (ii) the probability $P(H)\mathcal{D}H$ that the system belongs to the volume element

$$\mathcal{D}H = \prod_{\mu=1}^N dH_{\mu\mu} \cdot \prod_{\mu < \nu} d(\text{Re } H_{\mu\nu}) d(\text{Im } H_{\mu\nu}) , \quad (1.1)$$

is invariant under every automorphism

$$H \mapsto H' = U^{-1} H U , \quad (1.2)$$

where U is any unitary matrix, i.e. $P(H')\mathcal{D}H' = P(H)\mathcal{D}H$. It should be noted that the diagonal elements $H_{\mu\mu}$ are necessarily real, as H is Hermitian.

Because of (i), $P(H)$ is a product of functions each of which depends on a single variable (viz. the statistically independent elements $H_{\mu\mu}$, $\text{Re } H_{\mu\nu}$, $\text{Im } H_{\mu\nu}$, $\mu < \nu$). Then, as a consequence of the unitary invariance (ii), as originally proven by Porter and Rosenzweig, it follows that the statistically independent elements are Gaussian distributed with a common variance. A derivation of this fact may be found in Section 2.6 of Ref. 1. Accordingly, the second moments of the matrix elements are given by

$$\overline{H_{\mu\nu}H_{\mu'\nu'}} = \frac{\lambda^2}{N} \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\nu\mu'} , \quad (1.3)$$

and we take them to have zero mean $\overline{H_{\mu\nu}} = 0$. The appearance of the matrix dimension N in Eq. (1.3) provides a convenient normalization for the common strength λ , while the structure of the Kronecker deltas reflects the required statistical independence as well as the Hermiticity of H . For obvious reasons, we refer to μ, ν as the level indices. The overbar represents ensemble averaging; and since we assume a Gaussian distribution of Hamiltonian matrices, the mean and second moments (as specified above) serve to define it uniquely.

The ensemble average of any function $f(H)$ of the random matrix H can be represented as

$$\overline{f(H)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \int \mathcal{D}H f(H) \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{2\lambda^2} \text{Tr } H^2\right\} , \quad (1.4)$$

with the measure $\mathcal{D}H$ as given in Eq. (1.1) and the constant $\mathcal{N}$ chosen to ensure unit normalization. Now let us suppose that the function $f(H)$, whose average we are considering in Eq. (1.4), depends only on the eigenvalues of H , viz., the energy levels $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N$. This will happen, for example, if $f(H)$ satisfies the symmetry property

$$f(U^{-1}HU) = f(H) \quad (1.5)$$

for any unitary matrix $U \in \text{U}(N)$. To see this, let us note that any Hermitian matrix H can always be diagonalized by an $N \times N$ unitary matrix U_H from the coset space $\text{U}(N)/[\text{U}(1)]^N$, i.e.

$$H = U_H H_D U_H^{-1} , \quad (1.6)$$

where H_D is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues $H_D = \text{diag}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(H) &= f(U_H^{-1} H U_H) \\ &= f(\text{diag}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N)) \\ &\equiv f(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N) . \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Moreover, because of the decomposition (1.6), we can make the change of integration variables in Eq. (1.4) from the matrix elements $H_{\mu\nu}$ to the N eigenvalues $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N$ and $N^2 - N$ real angles that are needed to parametrize the space of unitary matrices U_H .

This allows us to explicitly perform the $N^2 - N$ angular integrations implicit in Eq. (1.4), and thereby express it as

$$\overline{f(E_1, \dots, E_N)} = \frac{V_N}{\mathcal{N}} \int \prod_{i=1}^N dE_i J(E_1, \dots, E_N) f(E_1, \dots, E_N) \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^N E_i^2\right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $J(E_1, \dots, E_N)$ denotes the Jacobian for the transformation of integration variables, and

$$V_N \equiv \int d\Omega_N(U), \quad (1.9)$$

being the integral of the Haar measure $d\Omega_N(U)$ over the coset space $U(N)/[U(1)]^N$, expresses the volume of this space. If we proceed to write Eq. (1.8) in the form

$$\overline{f(E_1, \dots, E_N)} = \int \prod_{i=1}^N dE_i f(E_1, \dots, E_N) P(E_1, \dots, E_N), \quad (1.10)$$

then we can immediately read off an expression for the joint probability density function for the eigenvalues as being given by

$$P(E_1, \dots, E_N) = \frac{V_N}{\mathcal{N}} J(E_1, \dots, E_N) \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^N E_i^2\right\}. \quad (1.11)$$

To obtain the two-level distribution function, one integrates over all eigenvalues E_i except for E_1 and E_2 :

$$p_2(E_1, E_2) = \frac{V_N}{\mathcal{N}} \int \prod_{i=3}^N dE_i J(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N) \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^N E_i^2\right\}. \quad (1.12)$$

To calculate the Jacobian J [15], let us begin by considering the differential of Eq. (1.6), viz. $H = UH_D U^{-1}$, which yields

$$dH = dU H_D U^{-1} + U dH_D U^{-1} + U H_D dU^{-1}. \quad (1.13)$$

Consequently,

$$U^{-1} dH U = U^{-1} dU H_D - H_D U^{-1} dU + dH_D, \quad (1.14)$$

having used the relation $dU^{-1}U = -U^{-1}dU$. Now let (dH) denote the exterior product of the differentials of the independent elements of H , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (dH) &= \bigwedge_{\mu, \nu=1}^N dH_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \left\{ \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^N dH_{\mu\mu} \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\mu < \nu} dH_{\mu\nu} \wedge dH_{\mu\nu}^* \right\} \\ &= (2i)^{N(N-1)/2} \left\{ \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^N dH_{\mu\mu} \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\mu < \nu} d\text{Re } H_{\mu\nu} \wedge d\text{Im } H_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

given a suitable ordering of the matrix elements. From the linearity of the wedge product, it follows that

$$(U^{-1} dH U) = q(U, U^*)(dH) \quad (1.16)$$

for some polynomial $q(U, U^*)$ of the independent matrix elements of U and their complex conjugates, which can be shown to have unit modulus $|q(U, U^*)| = 1$.

On the other hand, by expressing $U = (\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_N)$ in terms of column vectors $\vec{u}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ (which correspond to the orthonormal eigenvectors of H), and then substituting this form into Eq. (1.14), one can easily show that the exterior product of the RHS of Eq. (1.14) simplifies to yield

$$(U^{-1}dHU) = \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (E_j - E_k)^2 \bigwedge_{i=1}^N dE_i \right\} \wedge (U^{-1}dU). \quad (1.17)$$

After equating Eqs. (1.16) and (1.17), and taking into account Eq. (1.15), we can read off the volume element

$$\mathcal{D}H = d\Omega_N(U) J(E_1, \dots, E_N) \prod_{i=1}^N dE_i, \quad (1.18)$$

with the Jacobian identified with

$$J(E_1, \dots, E_N) = 2^{-N(N-1)/2} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (E_j - E_k)^2 \quad (1.19)$$

and $d\Omega_N(U)$ denoting the Haar measure on the coset space $U(N)/[U(1)]^N$. Consequently, the joint probability density function assumes the form

$$P(E_1, \dots, E_N) = C_N(\lambda) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (E_j - E_k)^2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{N}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^N E_i^2 \right\}. \quad (1.20)$$

The constant $C_N(\lambda)$, which is equal to $C_N(\lambda) = 2^{-N(N-1)/2} \mathcal{N}^{-1} V_N$, can be determined directly by explicitly calculating $\mathcal{N}$ and V_N . On the other hand, it can also be fixed by using the fact that the joint probability density function $P(E_1, \dots, E_N)$ integrated over all the eigenvalues E_i must yield unity. Thus here, for the sake of brevity, we shall take account of this fact and simply derive an expression for $C_N(\lambda)$ by appealing to Mehta's integral [1], which states that, for $\beta = 1, 2, 4$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_i e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |E_j - E_k|^\beta = (2\pi)^{N/2} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + j\beta/2)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta/2)}. \quad (1.21)$$

So, for the GUE value of $\beta = 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_N(\lambda)} &= \prod_{i=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE_i e^{-N/2\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^N E_i^2} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (E_j - E_k)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{N} \right)^{N^2/2} (2\pi)^{N/2} \prod_{j=1}^N j!. \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

The GUE is relevant for systems without invariance under time reversal. Since our aim is to give a tutorial introduction to the application of the supersymmetry method for random-matrix problems, we shall present a detailed step-by-step calculation of the simplest non-trivial physical quantity in this

theory, namely, the density-density correlator. Before doing so, however, we shall demonstrate that the second order correlator of the density of states at two energies E_1, E_2 within the spectrum of the theory coincides with the two-level distribution function $p_2(E_1, E_2)$ that we have just discussed. One physical system to which our calculation applies directly is that composed of small disordered metallic grains that are subjected to an external magnetic field [13].

We shall begin our exposition by relating the connected part of the density-density correlator $C(E_1, E_2) = \left[\overline{\rho(E_1)\rho(E_2)} \right]_{\text{conn.}}$ to the ensemble average of the product of retarded and advanced Green's functions $G^\pm(E)$, which are given as resolvents. We show that, in the large- N limit,

$$C(E_1, E_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 N^2} \text{Re} \left[\overline{G^+(E_1)G^-(E_2)} \right]_{\text{conn.}} . \quad (1.23)$$

Our discussion will then detail the construction of a generating function $Z(\varepsilon)$, depending on source-term parameters $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$, with respect to whose components twofold differentiation yields the product of an advanced and a retarded Green's function. After having demonstrated how to perform the ensemble average exactly with the aid of supersymmetry concepts, and how to extract the large- N limit using saddle-point techniques, we shall arrive at the result:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G^+(E_1)G^-(E_2)} &= \left. \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_1 \partial \varepsilon_2} Z(\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= - \left(\frac{\pi}{2d} \right)^2 \int \mathcal{D}Q \, \text{trg} \, kQ_{11} \, \text{trg} \, kQ_{22} \exp \left\{ \frac{i\pi\omega^+}{2d} \text{trg} \, LQ \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

assuming $E_1 + E_2 = 0$ for simplicity, where $\omega = E_1 - E_2$, $\omega^+ = \omega + i\epsilon$, and with $d = \pi\lambda/N$ denoting the mean level spacing at $E = 0$. This is an integral over a coset manifold of four-dimensional supermatrices Q , which we show how to parametrize and evaluate in order to derive an expression for the connected part of the density-density correlator that has been previously established in the literature on random matrix theory by a variety of methods [1, 13, 22], viz.,

$$C(\omega) = \frac{1}{(\pi\lambda)^2} \left[\pi\delta(x) - \frac{\sin^2 x}{x^2} \right] , \quad (1.25)$$

with $x = \pi\omega/d$. Since we assume $E_1 + E_2 = 0$, we use the notation $C(\omega) \equiv C(\omega/2, -\omega/2)$ for the functional dependence on the energy difference ω .

II. Density of States

The density of states, normalized to unity, is given by

$$\rho(E) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(E - E_n) = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \, \delta(E - H) . \quad (2.1)$$

With this definition, it is clear that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \rho(E) = 1 . \quad (2.2)$$

Using the identity

$$\delta(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\frac{1}{E - i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{E + i\epsilon} \right] , \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+ , \quad (2.3)$$

we obtain the representation

$$\begin{aligned} N\rho(E) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\text{Tr} \frac{1}{E - H - i\epsilon} - \text{Tr} \frac{1}{E - H + i\epsilon} \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi} [G^+(E) - G^-(E)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G^+(E) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$G^\pm(E) \equiv \text{Tr} \frac{1}{E - H \pm i\epsilon} . \quad (2.5)$$

We note that $G^+(E)$ corresponds to a retarded Green's function, while $G^-(E)$ is an advanced Green's function, i.e., if we introduce the time-dependent Green's functions

$$\tilde{G}^\pm(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dE}{2\pi} e^{-iEt} G^\pm(E) , \quad (2.6)$$

it follows that

$$\tilde{G}^\pm(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \gtrless 0 . \quad (2.7)$$

Given Eq. (1.4) for the definition of the ensemble average and Eq. (2.1) for the density of states, we can write the density-density correlator as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\rho(E')\rho(E'')} &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}N^2} \int \mathcal{D}H \text{Tr} \delta(E' - H) \text{Tr} \delta(E'' - H) e^{-N/2\lambda^2 \text{Tr} H^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}N^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \int \prod_{i=1}^N dE_i J(E_1, \dots, E_N) \int d\Omega_N(U) \delta(E' - E_j) \delta(E'' - E_k) e^{-N/2\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^N E_i^2} \\ &= \frac{V_N}{\mathcal{N}} \int \prod_{i=3}^N dE_i J(E', E'', E_3, \dots, E_N) \exp \left\{ -\frac{N}{2\lambda^2} \left(E'^2 + E''^2 + \sum_{i=3}^N E_i^2 \right) \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $J(E_1, \dots, E_N)$ is the Jacobian for the change of variables from matrix elements of H to eigenvalues E_i and orthonormal eigenvectors $\vec{u}_i$, as discussed in the foregoing section; $d\Omega_N(U)$ is the Haar measure over the space of unitary matrices formed from the column eigenvectors $U = (\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_N)$, and V_N is the volume of this space. The final line in Eq. (2.8) follows from the fact that the Jacobian is totally symmetric under all permutations of its arguments. Eq. (2.8) can be recast as

$$\overline{\rho(E_1)\rho(E_2)} = C_N(\lambda) \int \prod_{i=3}^N dE_i J(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N) \exp \left\{ -\frac{N}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^N E_i^2 \right\} , \quad (2.9)$$

from which it is evident, given Eq. (1.12), that the density-density correlator coincides with the two-level distribution function $p_2(E_1, E_2)$.

We are interested in looking at the connected part of the ensemble-averaged density-density correlator,

$$C(E_1, E_2) = \overline{\rho(E_1)\rho(E_2)} - \overline{\rho(E_1)} \cdot \overline{\rho(E_2)} . \quad (2.10)$$

Using the representation (2.4), and the fact that for large- N

$$\overline{G^\pm(E_1)G^\pm(E_2)} = \overline{G^\pm(E_1)} \cdot \overline{G^\pm(E_2)} , \quad (2.11)$$

we obtain

$$C(E_1, E_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 N^2} \text{Re} \left[\overline{G^+(E_1)G^-(E_2)} - \overline{G^+(E_1)} \cdot \overline{G^-(E_2)} \right] \quad (2.12)$$

in the large- N limit. Hence we shall focus our attention on computing the quantity $\overline{G^+(E_1)G^-(E_2)}$. Eq. (2.11) can be seen to follow from the triviality of the large- N saddle point (as discussed later on) when both imaginary parts lie on the same side of the real axis.

It is convenient to introduce the average energy $E = \frac{1}{2}(E_1 + E_2)$ and the energy difference $\omega = E_1 - E_2$. Then we can write

$$E_1 = E + i\tilde{\omega} , \quad E_2 = E - i\tilde{\omega} , \quad (2.13)$$

where we define $\tilde{\omega} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon - i\omega)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_1^+ & 0 \\ 0 & E_2^- \end{pmatrix} = E\mathbf{1}_2 + i\tilde{\omega}L , \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (2.14)$$

and so

$$G^+(E_1)G^-(E_2) = \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{E\mathbf{1}_2 - H + i\tilde{\omega}L} \right)_{11} \cdot \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{E\mathbf{1}_2 - H + i\tilde{\omega}L} \right)_{22} . \quad (2.15)$$

The symbol $\mathbf{1}_2$ is just the two-dimensional unit matrix.

III. Superalgebra

The supersymmetry formalism necessitates the use of anti-commuting (or Grassmann) numbers. Such numbers satisfy an anti-commutative product law, $\eta_1\eta_2 = -\eta_2\eta_1$. Consequently, they are nilpotent, $\eta_1^2 = \eta_2^2 = 0$. Complex conjugation can also be defined for Grassmann numbers. Our convention for complex conjugation is

$$(\eta_1\eta_2)^* = \eta_1^*\eta_2^* , \quad \eta^{**} = -\eta . \quad (3.1)$$

We note that the product of two Grassmann numbers is a commuting number. Thus, a Grassmann algebra (or superspace) can be constructed by combining anti-commuting numbers with commuting (real

or complex) ones. Linear algebra, analysis and topology can all be introduced on superspaces. For an exposition or review, one can consult Refs. 13–19. Here, we shall restrict ourselves to defining the basic quantities and constructions needed for later use, and presenting our conventions. Because of their relevance to the path-integral formulation of elementary-particle theories, commuting degrees of freedom in a superspace are often called ‘bosonic’, and anti-commuting ones ‘fermionic’. A differentiable manifold with both bosonic and fermionic coordinates is known as a supermanifold. The superspaces and supermanifolds that we shall encounter in the present application are ones with equal numbers of bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. This situation is sometimes described as a perfect $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading, and symmetries on such spaces are supersymmetries.

Supermatrices have the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ \beta & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where a, b are sub-matrices of commuting elements and α, β are sub-matrices of anti-commuting elements. Let us label the elements of the sub-matrices by the indices $p, p' = 1, 2, \dots$, and the four blocks by indices $\alpha, \alpha' = 0, 1$ such that

$$A_{pp'}^{00} = a_{pp'}, \quad A_{pp'}^{01} = \alpha_{pp'}, \quad A_{pp'}^{10} = \beta_{pp'}, \quad A_{pp'}^{11} = b_{pp'}. \quad (3.3)$$

In this scheme, where elements of A are represented as $A_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'}$, the indices α, α' govern the grading of the matrix elements, such that $\alpha + \alpha'$ even corresponds to commuting elements while $\alpha + \alpha'$ odd to anti-commuting ones. We shall refer to α, α' as the ‘graded indices’. The interchange of supermatrix elements can now be compactly expressed as

$$A_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} B_{qq'}^{\beta\beta'} = (-1)^{(\alpha+\alpha')(\beta+\beta')} B_{qq'}^{\beta\beta'} A_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'}. \quad (3.4)$$

One commonly calls A^{00} and A^{11} the ‘boson-boson’ and ‘fermion-fermion’ blocks, respectively, while A^{01} and A^{10} are known as the ‘boson-fermion’ and ‘fermion-boson’ blocks, respectively.

The graded trace (or supertrace) of a supermatrix A is defined to be

$$\text{trg } A = \text{tr } a - \text{tr } b, \quad (3.5)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\text{trg } A = \sum_{p, \alpha} (-1)^\alpha A_{pp}^{\alpha\alpha}. \quad (3.6)$$

The graded determinant (or superdeterminant) can be defined from the graded trace according to

$$\text{detg } A = e^{\text{trg } \ln A}. \quad (3.7)$$

From this, one can show that

$$\text{detg } A = \frac{\det(a - \alpha b^{-1} \beta)}{\det b}. \quad (3.8)$$

Constructed in this way, the graded determinant has all the usual properties, such as $\detg A^{-1} = (\detg A)^{-1}$, $\detg(AB) = \detg A \cdot \detg B$, etc. Also, for a supermatrix diagonal in the graded indices, $A_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} = \delta^{\alpha\alpha'} A_{pp'}^{(\alpha)}$, we have

$$\detg A = \frac{\det A^{(0)}}{\det A^{(1)}} . \quad (3.9)$$

Consequently, $\detg \mathbf{1} = 1$, but does not exist for the zero supermatrix.

Supermatrices act on supervectors φ , whose components we denote by φ_p^α , so that $\alpha = 0, 1$ corresponds to commuting and anti-commuting elements, respectively. Thus, if φ and ψ are supervectors, then

$$\varphi_p^\alpha \psi_{p'}^{\alpha'} = (-1)^{\alpha\alpha'} \psi_{p'}^{\alpha'} \varphi_p^\alpha . \quad (3.10)$$

The transpose (sometimes referred to as supertranspose) of a supermatrix A is defined by the requirement that $\psi^T A^T \varphi = (A\psi)^T \varphi$ for any supervectors φ, ψ . It follows that

$$A^T = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ \beta & b \end{pmatrix}^T = \begin{pmatrix} a^T & \beta^T \\ -\alpha^T & b^T \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.11)$$

This property can be equivalently expressed as

$$(A^T)_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} = (-1)^{\alpha(1+\alpha')} A_{p'p}^{\alpha'\alpha} . \quad (3.12)$$

We see that double transpose is not equivalent to the identity map. Instead, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{**} &= kAk , & \varphi^{**} &= k\varphi , \\ A^{TT} &= kAk , & A^{\dagger\dagger} &= A , \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $k_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} = (-1)^\alpha \delta^{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{pp'}$ and the Hermitian conjugate is defined as the composition of complex conjugation and supertranspose, viz., $A^\dagger = A^{*T}$.

IV. Supersymmetry Formalism

A. Basic Ideas

We look for a generating function for traces of resolvents $(E^\pm - H)^{-1}$, where powers and products are obtained by differentiation with respect to a source. As a simple example, let us consider

$$Z(\varepsilon) = \frac{\det(E - H + \varepsilon \mathbf{1})}{\det(E - H)} . \quad (4.1)$$

Then

$$\frac{dZ(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} = \text{Tr} \frac{1}{E - H + \varepsilon} \cdot Z(\varepsilon) . \quad (4.2)$$

All powers and products can be generated if one promotes $E - H + \varepsilon \mathbf{1}$, $E - H$ to block-diagonal matrices by taking $\varepsilon = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_M)$, $E = \text{diag}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_M)$, and differentiating with respect to the source components ε_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$. The ratio of determinants appearing in Eq. (4.1) ensures that the generating function is properly normalized, $Z(0) = 1$. It follows immediately that if we can ensemble-average the generating function, then differentiation with respect to the source will automatically generate ensemble-averaged resolvent products, such as those required by Eq. (2.15).

For a Gaussian-distributed random Hamiltonian H , this ensemble average is easy to perform if its appearance in $Z(\varepsilon)$ is purely exponential. Indeed, the central element of the present approach is the fact that if H is an $N \times N$ random matrix belonging to any Gaussian distribution with zero mean, then

$$\overline{e^{-i \text{Tr} HA}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \overline{(\text{Tr} HA)^2}} \quad (4.3)$$

for any fixed $N \times N$ matrix A . This result can be easily demonstrated as follows: Since $\overline{H} = 0$, expanding the exponent yields

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{e^{-i \text{Tr} HA}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \overline{(\text{Tr} HA)^{2n}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}(n) \left[\overline{(\text{Tr} HA)^2} \right]^n, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where we have appealed to Wick's theorem, which expresses the fact that for *any* Gaussian distribution, all moments factorize into second moments, i.e., the fully connected parts of all higher moments vanish. Thus we see that $\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}(n)$ denotes the number of ways to divide $2n$ distinct objects into n pairs,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}(n) = (2n-1)!! = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}. \quad (4.5)$$

Eq. (4.3) follows immediately. Such exponential dependence on H can be achieved by expressing the determinants in the denominator and numerator of Eq. (4.1) for the generating function as Gaussian integrals over commuting and anti-commuting variables, respectively. We shall now proceed to discuss this construction.

Let A_0 be a non-singular $N \times N$ matrix whose Hermitian part is positive definite. Then one can express the inverse determinant of A_0 as a Gaussian integral in the holomorphic representation [19], given by

$$\frac{1}{\det A_0} = \int \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{dz_k dz_k^*}{2\pi i} e^{-z^\dagger A_0 z}, \quad (4.6)$$

where z denotes a complex N -dimensional vector $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N)^T$ and $z^\dagger$ is its Hermitian conjugate. There exists an analogous Gaussian-integral form for the determinant of any $N \times N$ matrix A_1 in terms of Grassmann variables [19]. It is given by

$$\det A_1 = \int \prod_{\ell=1}^N (2\pi) d\eta_\ell^* d\eta_\ell e^{-\eta^\dagger A_1 \eta}, \quad (4.7)$$

assuming that the Grassmann integrals are normalized according to

$$\int d\eta_\ell \eta_\ell = \int d\eta_\ell^* \eta_\ell^* = (2\pi)^{-1/2} . \quad (4.8)$$

(We shall find this choice useful later on.) As a consistency condition, Grassmann integrals necessarily satisfy

$$\int d\eta_\ell = \int d\eta_\ell^* = 0 . \quad (4.9)$$

The simplest way of seeing why these identities must hold is to note that the value of an integral (being a measure) must be a c-number (i.e. an even element of the Grassmann algebra); however, the expressions in Eq. (4.9) are of first order in the anti-commuting differentials $d\eta_\ell, d\eta_\ell^*$ (i.e. odd elements of the Grassmann algebra). Thus, zero remains as the only consistent value. In the exponent above, η denotes an N -dimensional vector of Grassmann components $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_N)^T$. We remark that the multi-dimensional Gaussian integrals (4.6) and (4.7) form the cornerstones of the path-integral formulations for systems of bosons and fermions, respectively. Derivations of these identities appear in most modern textbooks on quantum field theory and many-body physics, such as Ref. 19. Nevertheless, for the sake of completeness, we present derivations of Eqs. (4.6) and (4.7) in Appendix A.

We can combine the matrices A_0, A_1 into a block-diagonal matrix $A = \text{diag}(A_0, A_1)$. This matrix can formally be considered to be a supermatrix (with vanishing anti-commuting blocks). Then

$$\text{detg } A = \frac{\det A_0}{\det A_1} , \quad (4.10)$$

and we can write

$$\text{detg}^{-1} A = \int \prod_{k=1}^N i dz_k dz_k^* \prod_{\ell=1}^N d\eta_\ell d\eta_\ell^* e^{-\varphi^\dagger A \varphi} , \quad (4.11)$$

where φ is the $2N$ -dimensional supervector $\varphi = (z, \eta)^T$. It is straightforward to show that the representation (4.11) generalizes to supermatrices with non-vanishing anti-commuting blocks.

B. Generating Function

Let us consider a generating function given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\varepsilon) &= \text{Detg}^{-1}[D + J(\varepsilon)] \\ &= \exp \{ -\text{Trg} \ln [D + J(\varepsilon)] \} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where the symbols ‘Detg’ and ‘Trg’ denote the graded determinant and graded trace as defined in the supersymmetric formalism of Ref. 14 and the foregoing discussion. Here, the inverse propagator D has been extended to a $4N \times 4N$ supermatrix,

$$D = (E - H)\mathbf{1}_4 + i\tilde{\omega}L , \quad (4.13)$$

where $L_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} = (-1)^{p+1} \delta_{pp'} \delta^{\alpha\alpha'}$ is the diagonal supermatrix that distinguishes between advanced and retarded parts of D . We have $D_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} = \delta^{\alpha\alpha'} [\text{diag}(D_+, D_+^\dagger)]_{pp'}$, where

$$D_+ = E - H + i\tilde{\omega}, \quad D_- = E - H - i\tilde{\omega} \quad (4.14)$$

so that $D_- = D_+^\dagger$, and $p, p' = 1, 2$, with $p = 1$ referring to the retarded block and $p = 2$ to the advanced block. The indices $\alpha, \alpha' = 0, 1$ determine the grading (with $\alpha = 0$ for the commuting (bosonic) components and $\alpha = 1$ for the anti-commuting (fermionic) components). Our notational conventions in writing traces and determinants are as follows: Those beginning with lower-case letters (e.g. trg , detg) imply summation only over graded indices α, α' and/or block indices p, p' , while those beginning with an upper-case letter (e.g. Trg , Tr , Detg) indicate that there is also (or perhaps solely) a summation over the level indices μ, ν .

The source supermatrix $J(\varepsilon)$ depends on a set of parameters ε_m labelled by some (multi)-index m , and is taken to have the general form

$$J(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon_m M_m \quad (4.15)$$

for some set of $4N \times 4N$ supermatrices $M_m(\mu, \nu)$. It then follows that

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_m \partial \varepsilon_n} Z(\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \text{Trg } M_m D^{-1} \cdot \text{Trg } M_n D^{-1} + \text{Trg } M_m D^{-1} M_n D^{-1}. \quad (4.16)$$

For the problem at hand, we shall make the choice of source matrix (here independent of the level indices μ, ν)

$$J_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = \sum_{m=1,2} \varepsilon_m I_{pp'}(m) k^{\alpha\alpha'}, \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$I_{pp'}(m) = \delta_{pp'} \delta_{pm} \quad (4.18)$$

is a projector onto the p -block with $p = m$ and $k^{\alpha\alpha'} = (-1)^\alpha \delta^{\alpha\alpha'}$. In this case, we have $J = \varepsilon_m M_m$ with the identification

$$M_m = I(m) \otimes k, \quad m \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (4.19)$$

With M_m given by Eq. (4.19), the second term on the RHS of Eq. (4.16) vanishes if we differentiate with respect to $\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_2$, and we see that

$$\text{Trg } M_m D^{-1} = \begin{cases} 2 \text{Tr}(D_+)^{-1} & \text{for } m = 1 \\ 2 \text{Tr}(D_-)^{-1} & \text{for } m = 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.20)$$

noting that $\text{trg } k = 2$ here. Therefore,

$$G(E_1^+) G(E_2^-) = \frac{1}{4} \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_1 \partial \varepsilon_2} Z(\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \quad (4.21)$$

We shall sometimes employ the obvious notation $G(E^\pm) \equiv G^\pm(E)$.

C. Ensemble Average

As discussed in the previous section, the generating function can be expressed as a Gaussian superintegral,

$$Z(\varepsilon) = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} e^{i\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi; J)} , \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi; J) = \sum_{p, \alpha} \langle \overline{\varphi}_p^\alpha, [(D + J)\varphi]_p^\alpha \rangle , \quad (4.23)$$

and the measure $\mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi}$ denotes

$$\mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} = \prod_{\mu, p, \alpha} i^\alpha d\varphi_p^\alpha(\mu) d\overline{\varphi}_p^\alpha(\mu) . \quad (4.24)$$

We employ the notation

$$\langle F, G \rangle \equiv \sum_{\mu} F(\mu) G(\mu) , \quad (4.25)$$

and $\varphi_p^\alpha(\mu)$ is a four-component supervector field. The adjoint supervector is defined by $\overline{\varphi} = \varphi^\dagger s$ with

$$s_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} = s_p^\alpha \delta^{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{pp'} , \quad s_p^\alpha = (-1)^{(1-\alpha)(1+p)} . \quad (4.26)$$

The presence of the supermatrix s in the definition of the adjoint ensures the convergence not only of Eq. (4.22) but also of the final integral representation of the ensemble-averaged generating function as a supermatrix non-linear σ -model and ensures the correct combination of compact and non-compact symmetries therein [22]. By construction, we have $Z(0) = 1$.

The ensemble-averaged generating function reads

$$\overline{Z(\varepsilon)} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} \overline{\exp\left\{-i \sum_{p, \alpha} \langle \overline{\varphi}_p^\alpha, (H\varphi)_p^\alpha \rangle\right\}}^H \exp\left\{i \sum_{p, \alpha} \langle \overline{\varphi}_p^\alpha, [(E\mathbf{1} + i\tilde{\omega}L + J)\varphi]_p^\alpha \rangle\right\} , \quad (4.27)$$

and we can write

$$\overline{\exp\left\{-i \sum_{p, \alpha} \langle \overline{\varphi}_p^\alpha, (H\varphi)_p^\alpha \rangle\right\}}^H = \overline{\exp\left\{-i \text{Tr}_\mu HS\right\}}^H , \quad (4.28)$$

where we have introduced the ordinary $N \times N$ matrix in the level indices μ, ν ,

$$\mathcal{S}(\nu, \mu) = \sum_{p, \alpha} \overline{\varphi}_p^\alpha(\mu) \varphi_p^\alpha(\nu) . \quad (4.29)$$

We can use Eq. (4.3) to obtain the result

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\exp\left\{-i \text{Tr}_\mu HS\right\}}^H &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \overline{(\text{Tr}_\mu HS)^2}^H\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2N} \text{Tr}_\mu S^2\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2N} \text{trg } S^2\right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where

$$S_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} \equiv \sum_{\mu} \varphi_p^{\alpha}(\mu) \overline{\varphi}_{p'}^{\alpha'}(\mu) \quad (4.31)$$

is a supermatrix, and it is straightforward to show that

$$\text{Tr}_{\mu} S^2 = \sum_{\mu, \nu} S(\mu, \nu) S(\nu, \mu) = \text{trg } S^2. \quad (4.32)$$

The ensemble-averaged generating function can now be expressed as

$$\overline{Z(\varepsilon)} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} e^{i\mathcal{L}_2(S; J)}, \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$i\mathcal{L}_2(S; J) = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2N} \text{trg } S^2 + i \text{trg}(E\mathbf{1} + i\tilde{\omega}L + J)S. \quad (4.34)$$

D. Hubbard-Stratonovich Transformation

Ensemble averaging of the generating function $Z(\varepsilon)$ has introduced a term quartic in the supervector φ into the exponent. Consequently, the supervector integration can no longer be performed exactly. However, considerable simplification can be achieved through a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation which serves to eliminate the quartic interaction in favour of new (composite) degrees of freedom comprised of 4×4 supermatrices σ , which couple to the dyadic form $\sum_{\mu} \varphi(\mu) \overline{\varphi}(\mu)$. It turns out that the expectation of (an appropriate graded trace over) σ is proportional to the average density of states, and hence non-zero. We make this explicit in Appendix C. Thus, it is analogous to an order-parameter field signalling the occurrence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, the density of states being the actual order parameter. Moreover, the σ supermatrices can easily be decomposed into massless (Goldstone) modes and massive modes which conveniently decouple in the large- N limit, and leave us with a theory of interacting Goldstone modes. These are the degrees of freedom in terms of which all quantities in the final effective theory can be expressed, and the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation serves to extract them from the original ones $\varphi(\mu)$. Hence, another advantage of this procedure is that the number of degrees of freedom is reduced by a factor N , since the σ modes are independent of the level indices μ, ν .

To implement the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, we follow Ref. 20 in defining

$$i\mathcal{L}_2^{\#}(S) = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2N} \text{trg } S^2 - \tilde{\omega}_r \text{trg } LS \quad (4.35)$$

and

$$W(\sigma, S) = -\mathcal{L}_2^{\#} \left(S - \frac{iN}{\lambda} \sigma \right). \quad (4.36)$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_r \equiv \text{Re } \tilde{\omega}$, and correspondingly $\tilde{\omega}_i \equiv \text{Im } \tilde{\omega}$. Then we can write

$$\overline{Z(\varepsilon)} = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma e^{i\mathcal{L}_3(\sigma; J)} , \quad (4.37)$$

where

$$e^{i\mathcal{L}_3(\sigma; J)} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} e^{iW(\sigma, S) + i\mathcal{L}_2(S; J)} , \quad (4.38)$$

assuming that

$$\int \mathcal{D}\sigma e^{iW(\sigma, S)} = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma e^{iW(\sigma, 0)} = 1 , \quad (4.39)$$

i.e. shifts are allowed. The choice of $W(\sigma, S)$ given in Eq. (4.36) serves to eliminate the quartic dependence on φ in the exponent of the generating function implied in $\mathcal{L}_2(S; J)$, since

$$iW(\sigma, S) + i\mathcal{L}_2^\#(S) = -\frac{N}{2} \text{trg } \sigma^2 - \frac{iN\tilde{\omega}_r}{\lambda} \text{trg } \sigma L - i\lambda \text{trg } \sigma S . \quad (4.40)$$

The remaining quadratic dependence on φ is amenable to exact integration, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\mathcal{L}_3(\sigma, J)} &= \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{2} \text{trg } \sigma^2 - \frac{iN\tilde{\omega}_r}{\lambda} \text{trg } \sigma L\right\} \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} \exp\left\{i \sum_{p, \alpha} \langle \overline{\varphi}_p^\alpha, [(E\mathbf{1} - \tilde{\omega}_i L + J - \lambda\sigma)\varphi]_p^\alpha \rangle\right\} . \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{2} \text{trg } \sigma^2 - \frac{iN\tilde{\omega}_r}{\lambda} \text{trg } \sigma L - N \text{trg } \ln [E\mathbf{1} - \tilde{\omega}_i L + J - \lambda\sigma]\right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

At this stage, it is advantageous to perform the shift of integration matrix $\sigma \mapsto \sigma'$ defined by

$$-\lambda\sigma' = -\tilde{\omega}_i L + J - \lambda\sigma \quad (4.42)$$

in order to move the source matrix and $\tilde{\omega}_i$ out of the logarithm. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trg } \sigma^2 &= \text{trg } \sigma'^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} [-2\lambda\tilde{\omega}_i \text{trg } \sigma' L + 2\lambda \text{trg } \sigma' J - 2\tilde{\omega}_i \text{trg } LJ + \text{trg } J^2] , \\ \text{trg } \sigma L &= \text{trg } \sigma' L + \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{trg } LJ , \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

we are left with

$$\overline{Z(\varepsilon)} = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{2} \text{trg } \sigma^2 - \frac{iN\tilde{\omega}}{\lambda} \text{trg } \sigma L - \frac{N}{\lambda} \text{trg } (\sigma + i\lambda^{-1}\tilde{\omega}L) J - \frac{N}{2\lambda^2} \text{trg } J^2 - N \text{trg } \ln [E\mathbf{1} - \lambda\sigma]\right\} , \quad (4.44)$$

where we have dropped the primes on the σ' , and we observe that $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}_r + i\tilde{\omega}_i$ has been reconstituted.

E. Integration Supermanifold

In the absence of symmetry breaking ($\tilde{\omega} = J = 0$), $\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi; J)$ is invariant under linear transformations $\varphi \mapsto T\varphi$ which preserve the bilinear form $\overline{\varphi}\varphi$. These 4×4 supermatrices must satisfy

$$T^{-1} = sT^\dagger s , \quad (4.45)$$

and constitute a supergroup with compact — $SU(2)$ — and non-compact — $SU(1,1)$ — bosonic subgroups. The transformation property induced on S is given by

$$S \mapsto TST^{-1} . \quad (4.46)$$

It is also useful to note that $S^\dagger = sSs$. The generating function expressed in terms of the supermatrices σ , as given by Eq. (4.37), should also possess invariance under these transformations in the absence of symmetry breaking. The structure of $W(\sigma, S)$ then implies that σ should also transform like S , i.e. $\sigma \mapsto T\sigma T^{-1}$. Hence, the domain of integration over the supermatrices σ should span a space invariant under $\sigma \mapsto T\sigma T^{-1}$ [20, 21]. Such a space is clearly furnished by matrices of the form $\sigma = T^{-1}P_d T$, where the P_d are diagonal matrices with real boson-boson and imaginary fermion-fermion elements. However, this choice still does not lead to a convergent integral (4.39) over σ . To remedy this, we can add an imaginary part to P_d according to

$$\sigma = T^{-1}(P_d + r\mathbf{1} - i\Delta L)T , \quad (4.47)$$

where Δ is an arbitrary positive constant. It is advantageous to choose Δ such that a saddle point of $\mathcal{L}_3(\sigma; 0)$ lies within the σ -integration manifold. This criterion will fix Δ uniquely. We have also included a real term proportional to the unit matrix because, as we shall see, though not required for convergence, its presence is also necessary to allow the saddle point to lie within the σ -integration manifold.

It is convenient to re-express Eq. (4.47) in a slightly different form. We perform a coset decomposition of the supergroup elements $T = RT_0$ where the R span the subgroup that commutes with L . Then we have

$$\sigma = T_0^{-1}(\delta P + r\mathbf{1} - i\Delta L)T_0 , \quad (4.48)$$

where $\delta P = R^{-1}P_d R$. The supermatrices δP are block-diagonal in the indices (p, p') , and have real boson-boson and imaginary fermion-fermion eigenvalues. Now, the generators of the coset elements T_0 have vanishing entries in both of the diagonal p -blocks. Thus, we can write

$$T_0 = \exp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & iw \\ i\bar{w} & 0 \end{array} \right) , \quad (4.49)$$

where, from Eq. (4.45), we deduce the relationship $\bar{w} = -kw^\dagger$ between these two 2×2 supermatrices. It follows immediately that $LT_0L = T_0^{-1}$.

If we write $\sigma = T_0^{-1}PT_0$, then the supermatrices P represent massive modes which decouple in the large- N limit. To achieve this decoupling, we shall observe that one can choose $P = \sigma_0 + \delta P$, where σ_0 is the unique diagonal saddle point that lies within the integration manifold, in which case δP are interpreted as the massive fluctuations around it. Thus we have $\sigma = \sigma_G + T^{-1}\delta PT$ with $\sigma_G = T^{-1}\sigma_0 T$.

The massive fluctuations can be integrated out in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, which leads to an expression for $\overline{Z(\varepsilon)}$ identical with Eq. (4.44) except for the replacement $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma_G$ everywhere.

F. Convergence

Let us now consider the convergence properties of the σ -integral in Eq.(4.39), setting $S = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} iW(\sigma, 0) &= -\frac{N}{2} \text{trg} \left(\sigma + \frac{i\tilde{\omega}_r}{\lambda} L \right)^2 \\ &= -\frac{N}{2} \left[\text{trg}(P_d + r\mathbf{1} - i\Delta L)^2 + \frac{2i\tilde{\omega}_r}{\lambda} \text{trg} P_d T L T^{-1} + \frac{\Delta\tilde{\omega}_r}{\lambda} \text{trg} L T L T^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

Integration over the elements of P_d is clearly convergent: The boson-boson elements are real, while the fact that the fermion-fermion elements are imaginary compensates for the minus sign coming from the graded trace. Thus $\text{trg} P_d^2$ is positive definite, and the shift by the constant term $r\mathbf{1} - i\Delta L$ does not affect convergence properties. Integration over the anti-commuting blocks of T cannot lead to any divergence, and neither can integration over the fermion-fermion block of T since it spans the group $\text{SU}(2)$, the parameters of which are all bounded (being real angles). On the other hand, the boson-boson block of T spans the non-compact group $\text{SU}(1,1)$, which has one unbounded real parameter. However, the final term in Eq. (4.50) furnishes the damping which ensures convergence, provided $\Delta\tilde{\omega}_r/\lambda$ is positive. The middle term in Eq. (4.50) does not cause any problems. In the boson-boson block, it is essentially imaginary and so gives an oscillating contribution to the integral. On the other hand, the imaginary elements of the fermion-fermion block combine with the imaginary prefactor to give rise to a potentially divergent contribution. However, since the fermion-fermion block of $T^{-1} L T$ is bounded, this term cannot compete with the damping due to the fermion-fermion part of $\text{trg} P_d^2$. To this end, we note that

$$\text{trg} P_d^2 + \frac{2i\tilde{\omega}_r}{\lambda} \text{trg} P_d T L T^{-1} = \text{trg} \left[P_d + \frac{i\tilde{\omega}_r}{\lambda} T L T^{-1} \right]^2. \quad (4.51)$$

This would not be so if the fermion-fermion block of T were non-compact. Furthermore, a non-compact fermion-fermion block, which would result from taking $s = L$ in Eq. (4.26), would also cause the third term of Eq. (4.50) to give rise to a divergent integral, owing to the relative minus sign between the boson-boson and fermion-fermion blocks in the graded trace. On the other hand, it is clear that the boson-boson block of T cannot be arranged to be compact as well as this would necessitate choosing $s = \mathbf{1}$, which in turn would lead to a manifestly divergent integral over φ in Eq. (4.22). Including non-zero S does not alter the arguments presented above. The general conclusions which can be drawn are that the non-zero boson-boson elements of P_d must be real and the fermion-fermion elements imaginary, while the boson-boson block of T must be non-compact and the fermion-fermion block compact. Convergence cannot be achieved in any other way.

G. Saddle-Point Equation

To determine the saddle points of the exponent of $\overline{Z(\varepsilon)}$, as it appears in Eq. (4.44), we neglect $\tilde{\omega}$ (as it is assumed to be of order $O(N^{-1})$) and the source matrix J (which can be considered as infinitesimal).

The saddle-point equation then reads

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{trg } \sigma^2 + \text{trg } \ln(E\mathbf{1} - \lambda\sigma) \right] = 0 , \quad (4.52)$$

or equivalently,

$$\lambda\sigma^2 - E\sigma + \lambda = 0 . \quad (4.53)$$

The unique diagonal solution of this equation assuming a form consistent with Eq. (4.47), namely $\sigma_0 = r\mathbf{1} - i\Delta L$, corresponds to

$$r = \frac{E}{2\lambda} , \quad \Delta = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{E}{2\lambda} \right)^2} , \quad (4.54)$$

and we note the consistency condition $|E| \leq 2\lambda$. As a function of E , $\Delta(E)$ reproduces Wigner's semicircle law; it is in fact proportional to the average density of states. We can use the normalization condition (2.2) to deduce that $\overline{\rho(E)} = \Delta(E)/(\pi\lambda)$. It follows immediately that the mean level spacing at $E = 0$ is given by $d = \pi\lambda/N$.

H. Decoupling of Massive Modes

If we scale the δP such that $\sigma = \sigma_G + N^{-1/2}T^{-1}\delta P'T$, then we have

$$\text{trg } \sigma^2 = \text{trg } \sigma_G^2 + N^{-1} \text{trg } (\delta P')^2 + 2N^{-1/2} \text{trg } \sigma_0 \delta P' , \quad (4.55)$$

and

$$\text{trg } \ln(E - \lambda\sigma) = \text{trg } \ln(E - \lambda\sigma_G) + \text{trg } \ln(1 - N^{-1/2}\sigma_0\delta P') \quad (4.56)$$

by the saddle-point equation $\sigma_0 = \lambda(E - \lambda\sigma_0)^{-1}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{N}{2} \text{trg } \sigma^2 - N \text{trg } \ln(E - \lambda\sigma) \\ &= -\frac{N}{2} \text{trg } \sigma_G^2 - N \text{trg } \ln(E - \lambda\sigma_G) - \frac{1}{2} \text{trg } (\delta P')^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{trg } \sigma_0 \delta P' \sigma_0 \delta P' + O(N^{-1/2}) \\ &= -\frac{N}{2} \text{trg } \sigma_G^2 - N \text{trg } \ln(E - \lambda\sigma_G) - \frac{1}{2} \text{trg } \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta^2 - r^2) + ir\Delta L \right] (\delta P')^2 + O(N^{-1/2}) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trg } \sigma L &= \text{trg } \sigma_G L + N^{-1/2} \text{trg } \delta P' T L T^{-1} \\ &\underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \text{trg } \sigma_G L , \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

since, given that $N\tilde{\omega} \sim O(N^0)$, the latter term will produce only non-leading contributions. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trg } \sigma J &= \text{trg } \sigma_G J + N^{-1/2} \text{trg } \delta P' T J T^{-1} \\ &\underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \text{trg } \sigma_G J , \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

since source differentiations on the latter term will produce contributions suppressed by $O(N^{-1/2})$ compared with those coming from the first term. Hence, we obtain

$$i\mathcal{L}_3(\sigma; J) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{2} \text{trg} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta^2 - r^2) + ir\Delta L \right] (\delta P')^2 + i\mathcal{L}_3(\sigma_G; J) . \quad (4.60)$$

We see that the variables σ_G and $\delta P'$ decouple in the large- N limit.

We note that the space spanned by the supermatrices σ is a linear space. Thus, the integration measure can be taken simply to be

$$\mathcal{D}\sigma = \prod_{\substack{\alpha, \alpha' \\ p, p'}} d\sigma_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} , \quad (4.61)$$

in which case, complex conjugates of matrix elements, such as $(\sigma_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'})^*$, should be expressed in terms of the original variables, viz. $\sigma_{p'p}^{\alpha'\alpha}$. Let us now recall that we have the decomposition $\sigma = T_0^{-1} P T_0$, where $P = \delta P + r\mathbf{1} - i\Delta L$. If we take the independent elements of P and the coset supermatrices T_0 as our integration variables, then the measure on the σ becomes [22]

$$\mathcal{D}\sigma = I(P) \mathcal{D}P \mathcal{D}\mu(T_0) , \quad (4.62)$$

where $\mathcal{D}\mu(T_0)$ is the invariant measure on the T_0 -coset manifold,

$$\mathcal{D}P = \mathcal{D}P_1 \cdot \mathcal{D}P_2 , \quad \mathcal{D}P_p = -i \prod_{\alpha, \alpha'} dP_p^{\alpha\alpha'} , \quad (4.63)$$

for $p = 1, 2$, and

$$I(P) = \left[\frac{(\Lambda_1^0 - \Lambda_2^0)(\Lambda_1^1 - \Lambda_2^1)}{(\Lambda_1^0 - \Lambda_2^1)(\Lambda_1^1 - \Lambda_2^0)} \right]^2 , \quad (4.64)$$

where Λ_p^α , $\alpha = 0, 1$, are the two eigenvalues of P_p , for $p = 1, 2$, respectively. Now σ , and hence P , are diagonalized by

$$\sigma = T^{-1}(P_d + r\mathbf{1} - i\Delta L)T . \quad (4.65)$$

Thus we see that

$$\text{diag}(\Lambda_1^0, \Lambda_1^1, \Lambda_2^0, \Lambda_2^1) = N^{-1/2} P'_d + r\mathbf{1} - i\Delta L \quad (4.66)$$

after scaling, i.e.

$$\Lambda_p^\alpha = r + i(-1)^p \Delta + O(N^{-1/2}) . \quad (4.67)$$

Consequently, $I(P) = 1 + O(N^{-1/2})$. The massive fluctuations $\delta P'_1, \delta P'_2$ can now be easily integrated out in the large- N limit, to yield

$$\overline{Z(\varepsilon)} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} K_1 K_2 \int \mathcal{D}\mu(T_0) e^{i\mathcal{L}_3(\sigma_G; J)} , \quad (4.68)$$

where

$$K_p = \int \mathcal{D}P_p e^{-\frac{1}{2}c_p \text{trg}(\delta P'_p)^2}, \quad (4.69)$$

with $c_p = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta^2 - r^2) - i(-1)^p r \Delta$ for $p = 1, 2$. Clearly, $K_1 = K_2 = 1$. We show this explicitly in Appendix B. Therefore, we see that the large- N limit is obtained by setting the massive fluctuations δP to zero and dropping the P -integration, which effects the substitution $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma_G$, as mentioned previously. In other words, we treat the massive P -sector at tree level.

We note that $\text{trg} \sigma_G^2 = 0$, and by the saddle-point equation (4.53),

$$\text{trg} \ln(E\mathbf{1} - \lambda \sigma_G) = -\text{trg} \ln(\lambda^{-1} \sigma_G) = 0. \quad (4.70)$$

Having set $E = 0$, we see that the correct choice of diagonal saddle-point matrix is $\sigma_0 = -iL$. So we write $\sigma_G = -iQ$ where $Q \equiv T^{-1}LT$. The supermatrices Q identically span the coset space of the T_0 ; one can replace the coset elements T_0 by the group elements T in the expression for Q , as it is clear that only group elements from different cosets give rise to distinct Q . We now arrive at the large- N form of the ensemble-averaged generating function as a zero-dimensional supermatrix non-linear σ -model,

$$\overline{Z(\varepsilon)} = \int \mathcal{D}Q e^{i\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(Q)} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{\text{source}}(Q; J)}, \quad (4.71)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(Q) &= -\frac{N\tilde{\omega}}{\lambda} \text{trg} LQ, \\ i\mathcal{L}_{\text{source}}(Q; J) &= \frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg}(Q - \lambda^{-1}\tilde{\omega}L)J, \end{aligned} \quad (4.72)$$

and it is convenient to write $\mathcal{D}Q \equiv \mathcal{D}\mu(T_0)$. In the present application, we have $\text{trg} J^2 = 0$. Also, we can neglect $\tilde{\omega}$ in the source Lagrangian because it will give rise to contributions that are non-leading in N^{-1} . Finally, we let $\omega^+ = \omega + i\epsilon$ to obtain $-N\tilde{\omega}/\lambda = iN\omega^+/(2\lambda) = i\pi\omega^+/(2d)$. Hence we take

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(Q) &= \frac{i\pi\omega^+}{2d} \text{trg} LQ = \frac{i\pi\omega^+}{2d} (\text{trg} Q_{11} - \text{trg} Q_{22}), \\ i\mathcal{L}_{\text{source}}(Q; J) &= \frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg} QJ = \frac{iN}{\lambda} \sum_{p=1,2} \varepsilon_p \text{trg} kQ_{pp}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-2} \overline{G(E_1^+)G(E_2^-)} &= \frac{1}{4N^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_1 \partial \varepsilon_2} \overline{Z(\varepsilon)} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(2\lambda)^2} \int \mathcal{D}Q \text{trg} kQ_{11} \text{trg} kQ_{22} \exp \left\{ \frac{i\pi\omega^+}{2d} (\text{trg} Q_{11} - \text{trg} Q_{22}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.74)$$

It is also useful to note that from Eq. (4.49) it follows immediately that $\text{trg} Q_{22} = -\text{trg} Q_{11}$.

V. Superintegration

The measure $\mathcal{D}Q$ is the Haar measure on the coset space of the supermatrices Q . If we set $\varepsilon = 0$, then since by construction $\overline{Z(0)} = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{source}}(Q; 0) = 0$, we have

$$1 = \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp\left\{\frac{i\pi\omega^+}{2d} \text{trg} LQ\right\} \quad (5.1)$$

for any $\omega^+ \neq 0$. By now taking the limit $\omega^+ \rightarrow 0$, we see that $\mathcal{D}Q$ should be normalized to unit coset volume.

A. Coset Parametrization

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w\bar{w} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{w}w \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

Eq. (4.49) can be expanded to yield

$$T_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \sqrt{w\bar{w}} & iw \frac{\sin \sqrt{w\bar{w}}}{\sqrt{w\bar{w}}} \\ i\bar{w} \frac{\sin \sqrt{w\bar{w}}}{\sqrt{w\bar{w}}} & \cos \sqrt{w\bar{w}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.3)$$

Let us set

$$t_{12} = iw \frac{\sin \sqrt{w\bar{w}}}{\sqrt{w\bar{w}}}, \quad t_{21} = i\bar{w} \frac{\sin \sqrt{w\bar{w}}}{\sqrt{w\bar{w}}} \quad (5.4)$$

so that t_{12}, t_{21} are 2×2 graded matrices satisfying

$$t_{12}^\dagger = kt_{21}, \quad t_{21}^\dagger = t_{12}k. \quad (5.5)$$

Then we obtain the representation

$$T_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+t_{12}t_{21}} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & \sqrt{1+t_{21}t_{12}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)$$

for the general coset element T_0 , which leads to

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2t_{12}t_{21} & 2t_{12}\sqrt{1+t_{21}t_{12}} \\ -2t_{21}\sqrt{1+t_{12}t_{21}} & -(1 + 2t_{21}t_{12}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.7)$$

Now let us write

$$t_{12} = u^{-1}\mu v, \quad t_{21} = v^{-1}\bar{\mu}u, \quad (5.8)$$

where $\mu, \bar{\mu}$ are diagonal matrices. This serves to diagonalize $t_{12}t_{21}$ and $t_{21}t_{12}$,

$$t_{12}t_{21} = u^{-1}(\mu\bar{\mu})u, \quad t_{21}t_{12} = v^{-1}(\bar{\mu}\mu)v. \quad (5.9)$$

Such a diagonalization must exist because (i) $t_{12}t_{21}$ is Hermitian and hence diagonalizable, while (ii) $t_{21}t_{12}$ is not Hermitian but has the same eigenvalues as $t_{12}t_{21}$ and so can also be diagonalized. Now, from inserting the representations (5.9) into the relations

$$(t_{12}t_{21})^\dagger = t_{12}t_{21} \ , \quad (t_{21}t_{12})^\dagger = kt_{21}t_{12}k \ , \quad (5.10)$$

respectively, and noting that the elements of $\mu\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}\mu$ are real, it follows that one can choose

$$u^{-1} = u^\dagger \ , \quad v^{-1} = kv^\dagger k \ . \quad (5.11)$$

Then, for the representation (5.8) to satisfy Eq. (5.5), we must have $\mu^\dagger = k\bar{\mu}$, so that $\mu, \bar{\mu}$ can be regarded as diagonal special cases of the general matrices t_{12}, t_{21} , respectively. For T_0 , Eq. (5.8) leads us to the form

$$T_0 = U^{-1}T_d U \ , \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad (5.12)$$

where T_d is block-diagonal in the graded indices α, α' ,

$$T_d = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu\bar{\mu}}}{\bar{\mu}} & \\ & \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1+\bar{\mu}\mu}} \end{pmatrix} \ . \quad (5.13)$$

Thus, let us consider the (bosonic) subgroup of the supergroup of the T that is block-diagonal in the graded indices α, α' . Its elements assume the form $(T_d)_{pp'}^{\alpha\alpha'} = \delta^{\alpha\alpha'} T_{pp'}^{(\alpha)}$, and we find it convenient to introduce the notation $T_d = \text{diag}(T_b, T_f)$. The relation (4.45) implies that

$$T_b^{-1} = L T_b^\dagger L \ , \quad T_f^{-1} = T_f^\dagger \ , \quad (5.14)$$

where $L = \text{diag}(+1, -1)$ in the p -block indices. We see that the subgroup which operates in the boson-boson block is $T_b \in \text{U}(1,1)$, while in the fermion-fermion block, $T_f \in \text{U}(2)$. However, to generate distinct coset elements $\Lambda \equiv T_d^{-1} L T_d$, we should restrict the subgroup elements to range only over the cosets $T_b \in \text{SU}(1,1)/\text{U}(1)$ (a hyperboloid), and $T_f \in \text{SU}(2)/\text{U}(1)$ (the unit sphere).

These coset spaces can each be parametrized by two real ‘angles’, according to

$$T_b = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \frac{1}{2}\theta_0 & -\zeta e^{i\phi_0} \sinh \frac{1}{2}\theta_0 \\ \zeta^* e^{-i\phi_0} \sinh \frac{1}{2}\theta_0 & \cosh \frac{1}{2}\theta_0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad T_f = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta_1 & i\zeta e^{i\phi_1} \sin \frac{1}{2}\theta_1 \\ i\zeta^* e^{-i\phi_1} \sin \frac{1}{2}\theta_1 & \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad (5.15)$$

where ζ is an arbitrary phase, $|\zeta| = 1$. Let us now combine these parameters into 2×2 (super)-matrices given by

$$\hat{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \hat{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} i\theta_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \ . \quad (5.16)$$

Then we can write

$$T_d = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\hat{\phi}} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta} & i\zeta \sin \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta} \\ i\zeta^* \sin \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta} & \cos \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\hat{\phi}} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad (5.17)$$

where the displayed block structure now pertains to the p -block indices.

From Eq. (5.13), we can make the identifications

$$\mu = i\zeta e^{i\hat{\phi}} \sin \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta} , \quad \bar{\mu} = i\zeta^* e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \sin \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta} \quad (5.18)$$

for the diagonal matrices $\mu, \bar{\mu}$ appearing in Eq. (5.8). On introducing the ‘eigenvalues’

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= \cosh \theta_0 , & 1 \leq \lambda_0 < \infty , \\ \lambda_1 &= \cos \theta_1 , & -1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq +1 , \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 \bar{\mu}_0 &= \bar{\mu}_0 \mu_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_0 - 1) , \\ \mu_1 \bar{\mu}_1 &= \bar{\mu}_1 \mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - 1) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Consequently, we have

$$e^{i\alpha \text{trg } LQ} = e^{2i\alpha \text{trg } t_{12} t_{21}} = e^{2i\alpha(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)} . \quad (5.21)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trg } kQ_{11} &= \text{trg } \hat{\lambda} u k u^{-1} , \\ \text{trg } kQ_{22} &= -\text{trg } \hat{\lambda} v k v^{-1} , \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

where $\hat{\lambda} \equiv \text{diag}(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)$. We also note that the ensuing representation for Q is then $Q = U^{-1} \Lambda U$ with

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\hat{\phi}} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \hat{\theta} & i\zeta \sin \hat{\theta} \\ -i\zeta^* \sin \hat{\theta} & -\cos \hat{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\hat{\phi}} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (5.23)$$

The coset supermatrices T_0 have two complex Grassmannian degrees of freedom (say η and ρ), one of which must be contained in u , and the other in v . These matrices have no bosonic degrees of freedom because these are all already accounted for in the bosonic subspace spanned by the T_d . The relations $u^{-1} = u^\dagger$ and $v^{-1} = k v^\dagger k$ then imply that we may take

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta^* \\ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\eta^* \eta & -\eta^* \\ \eta & 1 + \frac{1}{2}\eta^* \eta \end{pmatrix} , \\ v &= \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\rho^* \\ i\rho & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho^* \rho & -i\rho^* \\ i\rho & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\rho^* \rho \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

It follows that we also have the Hermiticity properties $u^\dagger = k u k$ and $v^\dagger = v$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trg } \hat{\lambda} u k u^{-1} &= (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) + 2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) \eta^* \eta , \\ \text{trg } \hat{\lambda} v k v^{-1} &= (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) - 2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) \rho^* \rho . \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

B. The Measure

To calculate the measure $\mathcal{D}Q$ in terms of specific manifold coordinates, we first note that the invariant line element on the Q -manifold is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{16} \text{trg } dQ dQ &= \frac{1}{16} \text{trg} [L, T_0 dT_0^{-1}]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \text{trg} [d(t_{12}t_{21})d(t_{12}t_{21}) - d(t_{12}\sqrt{1+t_{21}t_{12}})d(t_{21}\sqrt{1+t_{21}t_{12}}) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2)] . \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Let us now introduce τ_{12}, τ_{21} by

$$t_{12} = 2(1 - \tau_{12}\tau_{21})^{-1}\tau_{12} , \quad t_{21} = 2(1 - \tau_{21}\tau_{12})^{-1}\tau_{21} , \quad (5.27)$$

to obtain the ‘rational’ parametrization of T_0 :

$$T_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \tau_{12}\tau_{21})(1 - \tau_{12}\tau_{21})^{-1} & 2(1 - \tau_{12}\tau_{21})^{-1}\tau_{12} \\ 2(1 - \tau_{21}\tau_{12})^{-1}\tau_{21} & (1 + \tau_{21}\tau_{12})(1 - \tau_{21}\tau_{12})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (5.28)$$

We the aid of this parametrization, one can show that [14]

$$\mathcal{D}Q = \prod_{\alpha, \alpha'} dt_{12}^{\alpha\alpha'} dt_{21}^{\alpha\alpha'} , \quad (5.29)$$

where it is understood that a complex conjugate, such as $(t_{12}^{\alpha\alpha'})^*$, should be expressed in terms of $t_{21}^{\alpha'\alpha}$. It follows from this that the result for the invariant integration measure on the GUE coset space in terms of eigenvalues and angles is given by

$$\mathcal{D}Q = \frac{1}{(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)^2} d\lambda_0 d\lambda_1 d\phi_0 d\phi_1 d\eta d\eta^* d\rho d\rho^* . \quad (5.30)$$

Combining this with Eqs. (4.74), (5.21) and (5.25), we obtain the result

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-2} \overline{G(E_1^+) G(E_2^-)} &= \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \int \mathcal{D}Q \text{trg } \hat{\lambda} u k u^{-1} \text{trg } \hat{\lambda} v k v^{-1} e^{2i\alpha \text{trg } \hat{\lambda}} \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{conn.}} -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int \mathcal{D}Q (\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)^2 \eta^* \eta \rho^* \rho e^{2i\alpha(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_1^\infty d\lambda_0 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\lambda_1 e^{2i\alpha(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)} \\ &= \frac{2i}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{d}{\pi\omega^+} \right)^2 e^{i\pi\omega^+/d} \sin \left(\frac{\pi\omega^+}{d} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

where the abbreviation conn. stands for ‘connected part’, and recalling that $2\alpha = \pi\omega^+/d$. We should point out that the integral over the contribution to Eq. (5.31) of zeroth order in the Grassmann variables, namely $(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)^2$, is singular and actually non-vanishing when treated carefully. However, this contribution simply represents the disconnected part [22] of the correlator, which we want to subtract in any case. To see this, we appeal to a theorem quoted in Ref. 23 which states that the contribution to the superintegral $\int \mathcal{D}Q f(Q)$ from the component of $f(Q)$ that is of zeroth order in the Grassmann variables

is simply given by $f(L)$, i.e. the function $f(Q)$ evaluated at the origin of the Q -coset manifold. The corresponding contribution from the integral in Eq. (4.74) is thus seen to be

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-2} \overline{G(E_1^+) G(E_2^-)} &\rightarrow -\left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} \text{trg } kL_{11}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} \text{trg } kL_{22}\right) \\ &= N^{-2} \overline{G(E_1^+)} \cdot \overline{G(E_2^-)} \\ &= \lambda^{-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

i.e., it is just the disconnected part. Let us write $C(\omega) \equiv C(E_1, E_2)|_{E=0}$. Then we obtain, from Eq. (2.12), the expression

$$C(\omega) = \frac{1}{(\pi\lambda)^2} \left[d\delta(\omega) - \left(\frac{d}{\pi\omega}\right)^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi\omega}{d}\right) \right] \tag{5.33}$$

for the connected part of the density-density correlator at the centre of the spectrum. The δ -function arises from the singular contribution to the real part of Eq. (5.31) when $\omega \rightarrow 0$, which is induced by the positive imaginary part of $\omega^+ = \omega + i\epsilon$. The corresponding term is given by

$$\frac{2d}{\pi\lambda^2} \text{Re} \left(\frac{i}{\omega^+} \right) = \frac{2d}{\lambda^2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{1}{\omega - i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\omega + i\epsilon} \right) \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} \frac{2d}{\lambda^2} \delta(\omega). \tag{5.34}$$

A description of the modifications required of the present formalism in order to deal with the GOE is given in Ref. 25. The presence of time-reversal symmetry (manifested in the real-symmetric nature of the Hamiltonian H) necessitates a doubling of the dimension of the supervector φ before performing the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, viz. $\Phi = (\varphi, s\varphi^*)^T$, so that a Majorana-type condition $\Phi^* = C\Phi$ is satisfied, where C is an appropriate ‘charge-conjugation’ matrix. This entails a doubling of the dimension of the graded matrices Q , supplemented by a reality condition $Q^* = CQC^{-1}$.

Acknowledgements

I thank Prof. H.A. Weidenmüller and the members of the Theory Group at the Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik in Heidelberg for hospitality and financial support during a visit when this work was carried out. I am indebted to the postdocs and students, especially Ulrich Gerland, who worked through the manuscript. Financial support from NSERC (Canada) in the form of a research grant is also gratefully acknowledged.

APPENDIX A

In this appendix, we collect the various constant matrices that appear throughout the paper and we present derivations of the matrix Gaussian integrals over commuting and anti-commuting variables that

appear in Eqs. (4.6) and (4.7), respectively. Matrices arising from the graded structure of the theory and the symmetry-breaking due to the presence of advanced and retarded components are given by

$$k^{\alpha\alpha'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha\alpha'}, \quad L_{pp'} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}_{pp'}, \quad s_{pp'} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -k \end{pmatrix}_{pp'}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Matrices associated with the source terms are given by

$$I_{pp'}(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{pp'}, \quad I_{pp'}(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{pp'}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In all cases, the explicit indices indicate the space to which the displayed block structure pertains.

To derive Eq. (4.6), we first observe that, since the matrix A that appears in the exponent is assumed to be positive Hermitian, it can be diagonalized according to $A = U^\dagger A_D U$, where U is a unitary matrix and A_D is the diagonal matrix of the positive eigenvalues, $A_D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$. This decomposition facilitates the linear transformation of integration variables

$$z \mapsto z' = Uz, \quad z^\dagger \mapsto z'^\dagger = z^\dagger U^\dagger, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

whose Jacobian is equal to unity. The resulting decoupling of the integration variables z' gives rise to a factorized form of the Gaussian integral in Eq. (4.6):

$$I_0(A) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int dz'_k \int dz_k'^* e^{-\lambda_k z_k'^* z'_k}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Next, we pass to the real and imaginary parts of the holomorphic variables $z'_k = x_k + iy_k$, $z_k'^* = x_k - iy_k$, in which case

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(A) &= \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_k e^{-\lambda_k x_k^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy_k e^{-\lambda_k y_k^2} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^N 1/\lambda_k \\ &= (\det A)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

having appealed to the fact that the product of eigenvalues coincides with the determinant.

The analogous integral over anti-commuting variables Eq. (4.7) is most easily derived by expanding the integrand in a power series. Since $\eta_\ell^2 = \eta_\ell^{*2} = 0$ and we have $2N$ Grassmann degrees of freedom (η_ℓ, η_ℓ^* for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, N$), only terms up to order $2N$ can survive this power-series expansion of the exponential. On the other hand, in any of the ensuing polynomial terms that are of order less than $2N$, at least one Grassmann degree of freedom (say η_k) will be missing. Since, by Eq. (4.9), $\int d\eta_k = 0$, it follows that such terms vanish on integration. Thus, only the polynomial of order $2N$ survives; and so we can write for the Gaussian integral in Eq. (4.7)

$$I_1(A) = \int \prod_{\ell=1}^N \frac{d\eta_\ell^* d\eta_\ell}{2\pi} \frac{(-1)^N}{N!} (\eta^\dagger A \eta)^N. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Now,

$$\eta^\dagger A \eta = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \eta_i^* A_{ij} \eta_j . \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Again, since $\eta_\ell^2 = \eta_\ell^{*2} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta^\dagger A \eta)^N &= \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in P_N} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \eta_{\sigma(\ell)}^* A_{\sigma(\ell), \tau(\ell)} \eta_{\tau(\ell)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in P_N} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^N A_{\sigma(\ell), \tau(\ell)} \right) \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^N \eta_{\sigma(\ell)}^* \eta_{\tau(\ell)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

so that each η_ℓ and η_ℓ^* appears exactly once for all $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and where σ, τ range over the group of permutations of N objects P_N .

After some elementary manipulation of the product of Grassmann variables above to achieve the relation

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^N \eta_{\sigma(\ell)}^* \eta_{\tau(\ell)} = (-1)^\sigma \prod_{\ell=1}^N \eta_\ell^* \eta_{\tau(\ell)} = (-1)^\sigma (-1)^\tau \prod_{\ell=1}^N \eta_\ell^* \eta_\ell , \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where $(-1)^\sigma$ equals $+1$ or -1 if the permutation σ is even or odd, respectively, we can recast the integral (A.6) into the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(A) &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in P_N} (-1)^\sigma (-1)^\tau \prod_{\ell=1}^N A_{\sigma(\ell), \tau(\ell)} \cdot \prod_{\ell=1}^N \int \frac{d\eta_\ell d\eta_\ell^*}{2\pi} \eta_\ell^* \eta_\ell \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in P_N} (-1)^\sigma (-1)^\tau \prod_{\ell=1}^N A_{\sigma(\ell), \tau(\ell)} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

having made use of Eq. (4.8). Next, let us write $\ell = \sigma^{-1}(k)$ for appropriate $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^N A_{\sigma(\ell), \tau(\ell)} = \prod_{k=1}^N A_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(k)), \tau(\sigma^{-1}(k))} = \prod_{k=1}^N A_{k, \tau \circ \sigma^{-1}(k)} . \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(A) &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in P_N} \sum_{\tau \in P_N} (-1)^{\tau \circ \sigma^{-1}} \prod_{k=1}^N A_{k, \tau \circ \sigma^{-1}(k)} \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma, \nu \in P_N} (-1)^\nu \prod_{k=1}^N A_{k, \nu(k)} \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in P_N} (-1)^\nu \prod_{k=1}^N A_{k, \nu(k)} \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in P_N} (-1)^\nu A_{1, \nu(1)} A_{2, \nu(2)} \cdots A_{N, \nu(N)} \\ &= \det A \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

by the definition of the determinant.

APPENDIX B

If we write for the block-diagonal matrix R introduced above Eq. (4.48), $R = \text{diag}(R_1, R_2)$, then $R^{-1} = sR^\dagger s$ implies that $R_1^{-1} = R_1^\dagger$ and $R_2^{-1} = kR_2^\dagger k$. Since R_1 is a unitary 2×2 supermatrix, we can parametrize it as

$$R_1 = \exp \left\{ i \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1^b & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_1^f \end{pmatrix} \right\} \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\xi_1^* \\ \xi_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Then, since $\delta P = R^{-1} P_d R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta P_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^* \xi_1 & \xi_1^* \\ -\xi_1 & 1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^* \xi_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & ib_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^* \xi_1 & -\xi_1^* \\ \xi_1 & 1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^* \xi_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - (a_1 - ib_1)\xi_1^* \xi_1 & (a_1 - ib_1)\xi_1^* \\ (a_1 - ib_1)\xi_1 & ib_1 - (a_1 - ib_1)\xi_1^* \xi_1 \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Since R_2 is a pseudo-unitary 2×2 supermatrix, we can parametrize it as

$$R_2 = \exp \left\{ i \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2^b & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2^f \end{pmatrix} \right\} \exp \left\{ i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\xi_2^* \\ \xi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} . \quad (\text{B.3})$$

It follows that

$$\delta P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 + (a_2 - ib_2)\xi_2^* \xi_2 & (b_2 + ia_2)\xi_2^* \\ (b_2 + ia_2)\xi_2 & ib_2 + (a_2 - ib_2)\xi_2^* \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The nilpotent parts on the diagonal can be eliminated by appropriate ‘contour deformations’. Then in both cases $p = 1, 2$, we have the form

$$\delta P_p = \begin{pmatrix} x & \bar{\eta} \\ \eta & iy \end{pmatrix} , \quad -\infty < x, y < +\infty , \quad (\text{B.5})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}P_p &= -idP_p^{00}dP_p^{01}dP_p^{10}dP_p^{11} \\ &= dx dy d\bar{\eta} d\eta \\ &= -rdr d\theta d\eta d\bar{\eta} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Thus, $\text{trg}(\delta P_p)^2 = r^2 + 2\bar{\eta}\eta$, and so, from Eq. (4.69),

$$K_p = - \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\infty r dr e^{-c_p r^2/2} \int d\eta d\bar{\eta} e^{-c_p \bar{\eta}\eta} = 1 , \quad (\text{B.7})$$

recalling the normalization of Grassmann integrals in Eq. (4.8).

APPENDIX C

A direct representation of the density of states can be obtained by taking for the source matrix $J = \varepsilon M = \varepsilon k \otimes L$. Then, from Eq. (4.12),

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} Z(\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = -\text{Trg} k L D^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2 [\text{Tr } G^+(E) - \text{Tr } G^-(E)] \\
&\xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 4i\pi N \rho(E) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

noting that here we should take $\tilde{\omega} = \epsilon$. From Eqs. (4.71) and (4.73), we have

$$\left. \frac{\partial \overline{Z(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} = \frac{iN}{\lambda} \int \mathcal{D}Q \text{trg } kLQ \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi\epsilon}{2d} \text{trg } LQ \right\} , \tag{C.2}$$

which implies

$$\overline{\rho(0)} = \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int \mathcal{D}Q \text{trg } kLQ \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi\epsilon}{2d} \text{trg } LQ \right\} . \tag{C.3}$$

Clearly, only the part of the integrand that is of zeroth order in the Grassmann variables contributes.

Thus, by the theorem cited below Eq. (5.31), we obtain

$$\overline{\rho(0)} = \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} \text{trg } kL^2 = \frac{1}{\pi\lambda} . \tag{C.4}$$

Also, from Eq. (4.22) and (4.23),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{\partial \overline{Z(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} &= \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} e^{i\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi;0)} i \text{trg } kL \left(\sum_{\mu} \varphi(\mu) \overline{\varphi}(\mu) \right) \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} e^{i\mathcal{L}_2(S;0)} i \text{trg } kLS .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

Thus,

$$\langle \text{trg } kLS \rangle_2 = \frac{N}{\lambda} \langle \text{trg } kLQ \rangle_3 = 4\pi N \overline{\rho} . \tag{C.6}$$

This establishes the relation between the expectation of Q in the $\mathcal{L}_3$ -theory and S in the $\mathcal{L}_2$ -theory. It is clear that $\langle Q \rangle_3 = L$.

Another expression for the average density of states can be obtained by appealing to the so-called Ward identity,

$$\begin{aligned}
G^+(E) - G^-(E) &= \text{Tr} \frac{1}{E - H + i\epsilon} - \text{Tr} \frac{1}{E - H - i\epsilon} \\
&= -2i\epsilon \text{Tr} \frac{1}{(E - H)^2 + \epsilon^2} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

in which case

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(E) &= \frac{1}{\pi N} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon \text{Tr} \frac{1}{E - H + i\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{E - H - i\epsilon} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi N} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon \text{Tr} D_{11}^{-1} D_{22}^{-1} .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

Here, we simply take $\tilde{\omega} = \epsilon$. A source matrix that will generate this combination is furnished by Eq. (4.15)

with $M_m = k_m \otimes \mathcal{M}$, $m = B, F$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_{pp'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{pp'} , \quad k_B^{\alpha\alpha'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha\alpha'} , \quad k_F^{\alpha\alpha'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha\alpha'} . \tag{C.9}$$

Then,

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_B \partial \varepsilon_B} Z(\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = 2 \text{Trg } D_{11}^{-1} D_{22}^{-1} . \quad (\text{C.10})$$

A similar source matrix was used in Ref. 2 for calculating moments of conductance. In the present case, we have $\text{trg } LJ = 0$ but $\text{trg } J^2 = 2 \text{trg } (\varepsilon \cdot k)^2 = 2(\varepsilon_B^2 - \varepsilon_F^2)$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trg } QJ &= \text{trg } (\varepsilon \cdot k) Q_{12} + \text{trg } (\varepsilon \cdot k) Q_{12} \\ &= \varepsilon_B \text{trg } k_B (Q_{12} + Q_{21}) + \varepsilon_F \text{trg } k_F (Q_{12} + Q_{21}) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.11})$$

It follows that

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_B^2} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{\text{source}}(Q;J)} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = -\frac{N^2}{\lambda^2} [\text{trg } k_B (Q_{12} + Q_{21})]^2 , \quad (\text{C.12})$$

whence

$$\overline{\rho(0)} = -\frac{N}{2\pi\lambda^2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon \int \mathcal{D}Q [\text{trg } k_B (Q_{12} + Q_{21})]^2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi\epsilon}{2d} \text{trg } LQ \right\} . \quad (\text{C.13})$$

We see immediately that only the term in the integrand of highest order in Grassmann variables contributes to this expression. Since, from Eqs. (5.7) and (5.8),

$$Q_{12} = 2u^{-1} \mu \sqrt{1 + \bar{\mu}\mu} v , \quad Q_{21} = 2v^{-1} \bar{\mu} \sqrt{1 + \mu\bar{\mu}} u , \quad (\text{C.14})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trg } k_B (Q_{12} + Q_{21}) &= 2[(\mu_0 + \bar{\mu}_0)(1 + \mu_0 \bar{\mu}_0)(1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^* \eta)(1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\rho^* \rho) \\ &\quad - i\sqrt{1 + \mu_1 \bar{\mu}_1}(\mu_1 \rho \eta^* + \bar{\mu}_1 \eta \rho^*)] . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.15})$$

Thus, the contributing part of the integrand is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{trg } k_B (Q_{12} + Q_{21})]^2 &\xrightarrow{\text{c.p.}} -8[(1 + \mu_0 \bar{\mu}_0)\mu_0 \bar{\mu}_0 - (1 + \mu_1 \bar{\mu}_1)\mu_1 \bar{\mu}_1 \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}(1 + \mu_0 \bar{\mu}_0)(\mu_0^2 + \bar{\mu}_0^2)]\eta^* \eta \rho^* \rho . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.16})$$

Now,

$$(1 + \mu_0 \bar{\mu}_0)\mu_0 \bar{\mu}_0 - (1 + \mu_1 \bar{\mu}_1)\mu_1 \bar{\mu}_1 = \tfrac{1}{4}(\lambda_0^2 - \lambda_1^2) , \quad (\text{C.17})$$

while the third term in the square brackets above produces a vanishing contribution after integration over the angles ϕ_0, ϕ_1 . Hence, we obtain

$$\overline{\rho(0)} = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda} \lim_{\epsilon' \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon' \int_1^\infty d\lambda_0 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\lambda_1 \frac{\lambda_0 + \lambda_1}{\lambda_0 - \lambda_1} e^{-\epsilon'(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)} , \quad (\text{C.18})$$

on recalling that $d = \pi\lambda/N$ and setting $\epsilon' = 2\pi\epsilon/d$. We can write the integral in Eq. (C.18) as

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv \int_{\epsilon'}^\infty dz \int_1^\infty d\lambda_0 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\lambda_1 (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) e^{-z(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)} . \quad (\text{C.19})$$

The variable transformation

$$\lambda_0 = 1 + 2t_0 , \quad \lambda_1 = 1 - 2t_1 \quad (\text{C.20})$$

yields the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &= 8 \int_{\epsilon'}^{\infty} dz \int_0^1 dt_1 e^{-2zt_1} \int_0^{\infty} dt_0 [(1-t_1) + t_0] e^{-2zt_0} \\
&= 2 \int_{\epsilon'}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z^2} \\
&= \frac{2}{\epsilon'} .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.21}$$

This leads immediately to the expected result $\overline{\rho(0)} = 1/(\pi\lambda)$.

Let us explain why we refer to Eq. (C.7) as a Ward identity. With a slight modification of our notation, we have from Eqs. (4.71) and (4.73),

$$\overline{Z(J + i\tilde{\omega}L)} = \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp\left\{\frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg } Q(J + i\tilde{\omega}L)\right\} . \tag{C.22}$$

Thus, we can also write

$$\overline{Z(A)} = \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp\left\{\frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg } QA\right\} \tag{C.23}$$

for any supermatrix A . Now let $A = A_0 + \delta A$ where δA is infinitesimal. Then we have

$$\overline{Z(A_0 + \delta A)} - \overline{Z(A_0)} = \frac{iN}{\lambda} \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp\left\{\frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg } QA_0\right\} \text{trg } Q\delta A . \tag{C.24}$$

Our theory has the symmetry property

$$\overline{Z(T^{-1}(J + i\tilde{\omega}L)T)} = \overline{Z(J + i\tilde{\omega}L)} , \tag{C.25}$$

which is evident both from Eq. (4.22) and Eq. (4.71). For infinitesimal transformations, $T = \mathbf{1} + \delta T$, $T^{-1} = \mathbf{1} - \delta T$, this reads

$$\overline{Z(J + i\tilde{\omega}L + [J + i\tilde{\omega}L, \delta T])} - \overline{Z(J + i\tilde{\omega}L)} = 0 . \tag{C.26}$$

So if we choose $A_0 = J + i\tilde{\omega}L$, $\delta A = [A_0, \delta T]$, then we obtain the Ward identity

$$\int \mathcal{D}Q e^{\frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg } QA_0} [A_0, Q] = 0 , \tag{C.27}$$

noting that

$$\text{trg } Q\delta A = -\text{trg } \delta T[A_0, Q] \tag{C.28}$$

and δT is arbitrary. Equivalently, we can write

$$\int \mathcal{D}Q [J, Q] e^{\frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg } Q(J + i\tilde{\omega}L)} = -i\tilde{\omega} \int \mathcal{D}Q [L, Q] e^{\frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg } Q(J + i\tilde{\omega}L)} . \tag{C.29}$$

We can use Eq. (C.29) to generate families of integral identities. For example, since on the RHS

$$[L, Q] = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_{12} \\ -Q_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{C.30}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{trg } k_\alpha \mathcal{M} L [L, Q] &= 2 \text{trg } k_\alpha (Q_{12} + Q_{21}) , \\
\text{trg } k_\alpha \mathcal{M} L [J, Q] &= 2 \text{trg} [k_\alpha \mathcal{M} L, J] Q \\
&= 2 \text{trg} \begin{pmatrix} -(k_\alpha J_{12} + J_{21} k_\alpha) & -k_\alpha J_{22} + J_{11} k_\alpha \\ k_\alpha J_{11} - J_{22} k_\alpha & k_\alpha J_{12} + J_{21} k_\alpha \end{pmatrix} Q ,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.31}$$

for $\alpha = B, F$, etc. If we suppose that $[k_\alpha, J_{pp'}] = 0$, then

$$\text{trg } k_\alpha \mathcal{M} L [J, Q] = -\text{trg } k_\alpha (J_{12} + J_{21}) L Q + \text{trg } k_\alpha (J_{11} - J_{22}) \mathcal{M} Q , \tag{C.32}$$

and the Ward identity (C.29) now reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \mathcal{D}Q [\text{trg } k_\alpha (J_{11} - J_{22}) (Q_{12} + Q_{21}) - \text{trg } k_\alpha (J_{12} + J_{21}) (Q_{11} - Q_{22})] e^{\frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg } Q (J + i\tilde{\omega} L)} \\
= -2i\tilde{\omega} \int \mathcal{D}Q \text{trg } k_\alpha (Q_{12} + Q_{21}) e^{\frac{iN}{\lambda} \text{trg } Q (J + i\tilde{\omega} L)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.33}$$

Let us also take $J = \varepsilon_\beta k_\beta L + \varepsilon'_\gamma k_\gamma \mathcal{M}$, so that

$$J_{11} - J_{22} = 2\varepsilon_\beta k_\beta , \quad J_{12} + J_{21} = 2\varepsilon'_\gamma k_\gamma , \tag{C.34}$$

while

$$\text{trg } Q J = \varepsilon_\beta \text{trg } k_\beta (Q_{11} - Q_{22}) + \varepsilon'_\gamma \text{trg } k_\gamma (Q_{12} + Q_{21}) . \tag{C.35}$$

Then setting $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ and equating powers of ε and ε' yields the family of relations

$$F(m+1, n+1) = \frac{\lambda}{N\tilde{\omega}} \left[(m+1)F(m, n+1) - nF(m+2, n-1) \right] , \tag{C.36}$$

valid for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$F(m, n) = \int \mathcal{D}Q e^{-\frac{N\tilde{\omega}}{\lambda} \text{trg } Q L} [\text{trg } k_\alpha (Q_{11} - Q_{22})]^m [\text{trg } k_\alpha (Q_{12} + Q_{21})]^n . \tag{C.37}$$

This constitutes the basis for a recurrence relation for the Q -integrals $F(m, n)$. The case $m = -1, n = 1$ yields an identity equivalent to Eq. (C.7). We see that the Ward identity relates the transverse modes Q_{12}, Q_{21} to the longitudinal modes Q_{11}, Q_{22} .

REFERENCES

- [1] M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 2nd edition, (Academic Press, New York, 1991).
- [2] S. Iida, H.A. Weidenmüller & J.A. Zuk, Ann. Phys. **200** (1990) 219.
- [3] J.A. Zuk, Phys. Rev. **B45** (1992) 8952.
- [4] A. Müller-Groeling, Phys. Rev. **B47** (1993) 6480.

- [5] A. Altland, S. Iida, A. Müller-Groeling & H.A. Weidenmüller, *Ann. Phys.* **219** (1992) 148.
- [6] A.D. Mirlin, A. Müller-Groeling & M.R. Zirnbauer, *Ann. Phys.* **236** (1994) 325.
- [7] H.A. Weidenmüller & M.R. Zirnbauer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B305** (1988) 339.
- [8] Z. Pluhař, H.A. Weidenmüller, J.A. Zuk & C.H. Lewenkopf, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 2115.
- [9] E.P. Wigner, *Ann. Math.* **53** (1951) 36; F.J. Dyson, *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1962) 140.
- [10] B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee & B.L. Altshuler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 4122; **72** (1994) 64.
- [11] O. Bohigas & H.A.W. Weidenmüller, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **38** (1988) 421.
- [12] A.D. Jackson & J.J.M. Verbaarschot, ‘A random matrix model for chiral symmetry breaking’, Preprint hep-ph/9509324. A.D. Jackson, M.K. Sener & J.J.M. Verbaarschot, ‘Universality near zero virtuality’, Preprint hep-ph/960225. T. Wettig, A. Schäfer & H.A. Weidenmüller, ‘The chiral phase transition in a random matrix model with molecular interactions’, Preprint hep-ph/9510258.
- [13] K.B. Efetov, *Adv. Phys.* **32** (1983) 53.
- [14] J.J.M. Verbaarschot, H.A. Weidenmüller & M.R. Zirnbauer, *Phys. Rep.* **129** (1985) 367.
- [15] P.J. Forrester, Unpublished notes.
- [16] F.A. Berezin, *The Method of Second Quantization*, (Academic Press, New York, 1966), Chapter I.3.
- [17] F.A. Berezin, *Introduction to Superalgebra*, (Riedel, Dordrecht, 1987).
- [18] B.S. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984).
- [19] C. Itzykson & J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980), Sections 9-1-2 & 9-1-3.
- [20] A. Pruisken & L. Schäfer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B200** (1982) 20.
- [21] L. Schäfer & F. Wegner, *Z. Phys.* **B38** (1980) 113.
- [22] J.J.M. Verbaarschot & M.R. Zirnbauer, *J. Phys.* **A18** (1985) 1093.
- [23] M.R. Zirnbauer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B265** (1986) 375.
- [24] F. Wegner, *Z. Phys.* **B49** (1983) 297.
- [25] Z. Pluhař, H.A. Weidenmüller, J.A. Zuk, C.H. Lewenkopf & F.J. Wegner, *Annals of Physics* **243** (1995) 1.